# WEST OF ENGLAND "BUILDING OUR FUTURE"

(For official use only)
Rec'd:
Ack:
Respondent No

## West of England Joint Spatial Plan - Publication Representation Form

The West of England councils - Bath & North East Somerset, Bristol City, North Somerset and South Gloucestershire councils are inviting representations on the Publication Document of the West of England Joint Spatial Plan. These will be considered by the examining Inspector in the context of the soundness and legal compliance of the Plan.

Please return this form by Wednesday 10<sup>th</sup> January 2018.

Email to: <a href="mailto:comment@jointplanningwofe.org.uk">comment@jointplanningwofe.org.uk</a> or post to: West of England Joint Spatial Plan, C/o South Gloucestershire Council, Planning, PO Box 1954, Bristol BS37 0DD

This form has two parts:

Part A - Personal Details

Part B – Your representation.

Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

To ensure your representation is restricted to issues of soundness and legal compliance, you are advised to refer to the accompanying **Guidance Document** and make your representation on this official form that has been specifically designed to assist you in making your representation.

Please be aware that all comments made on the Joint Spatial Plan will be publicly available. Anonymous forms cannot be accepted and so to submit your form you must include your details below.

You should refer to section 5 in the Guidance Document for advice on how to make a joint representation.

#### Part A

1.	Personal Details*	2.	Agent's	Details (if ap	plicable)
* If an agent is appointed	, complete only the Title,	Name and Organisation boxes in 1	1. below adding	the agent's detail	s in 2 below.

Title*	Ms	
First Name*	Sophie	
Last Name*	Spencer	
Job Title (where relevant)	Director	
Organisation* (where relevant)	CPRE Avonside (including CPRE South Gloucestershire District Group, CPRE BANES District Group and CPRE North Somerset District Group)	
Address Line 1	PO Box 1621	
Address Line 2	BRISTOL	
Post Code	BS405YG	
Telephone Number	07854 741130	
E-mail Address	director@cpreavonside.org.uk	











### **Part B - Your Representation**

Please use a separate form for each representation made and read the accompanying **Guidance Note** that accompanies this form before you complete it.

Name or Organisation: CPRE Avonside (Form 8ii of 18)					
Q1. On which part of the Joint Spatial Plan are you commenting? Please see the note above.					
Chapter	4	Paragraph	-	Policy	7.11
Key Diagram					
Q2. Do you consider the Joint Spatial Plan to be:					
Legally compli	ant?	Yes		No	
Sound?		Yes		No	<b>✓</b>
Compliant with	the Duty to co-o	perate? Yes		No	

Please tick as appropriate

Q3. Please give details of why you consider the Joint Spatial Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Joint Spatial Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your representation.

#### **Summary**

The JSP is unsound because it is not positively prepared – it does not provide the rights homes and infrastructure in a sustainable way. The proposals outlined for this SDL are **not justified**.

#### **Details**

In recent years Thornbury has grown beyond the limits of sustainability outlined in the adoption of the South Gloucestershire's core strategy 4 years ago. Problems of inadequate health and transport infrastructure have not been alleviated. A large proportion of its population drives to work elsewhere. This has been compounded by the building of houses on land the Council's own policies had reserved for employment. Should a current planning appeal be successful Thornbury will already be larger than the limits proposed for 2036 before the JSP is approved. This does not take into account the outcome of a further planning application that will fully close the gap between the Town and the Buckover Garden Village site and contrary to the proposals in the plan to retain a permanent strategic gap between Buckover Garden Village and Thornbury. This would in fact make that site a garden suburb – see our









comments on Buckover Garden village (Form 8vii).

The JSP Evidence Base is disingenuous by referring to the hospital in Thornbury, this health provision is very limited. Its inadequacies have been identified by the current and previous MP's over past decades and visits by several Secretaries of State have failed to elicit action. As recent as December 2017 the promised plan for improvements to this vital infrastructure was abandoned and another re-evaluation proposed.

The JSP relies on the idea that Thornbury commuters would travel from Charfield rail station. It is unlikely that this would be the case in reality as commuters are likely to choose a more direct and cheaper route to Bristol 12km away. Either by car or using the park and ride, both of which are less sustainable than the proposal put forward in the JSP.

The proposals outlined for this SDL are not sustainable or justified making the JSP unsound









Q4. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Joint Spatial Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the matter you have identified at Q3 above where this relates to soundness. (Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at Examination.) You will need to say why this change will make the Joint Spatial Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible:

**Recommendation:** WECA should reduce the numbers of housing and jobs to be created within the JSP in line with recent economic forecasts and better integrate strategic development locations with strategies to enhance employment in the sub-region. The starting point of the JSP should be the realisation of Policy 5 through the delivery of sustainable housing and employment opportunities, not the continued building of large dormitory housing estates from which residents will have to drive for their every need. To meet its own strategic priorities it should focus and give strength to LA's directing development to the areas that are most sustainable - urban areas (the core areas of Bristol, Bath and Weston-Super-Mare), but also towns and village within the existing boundaries of which measures should deliver regeneration and enhancement of the environment to enable the well-being and better provision of services for the people living there. Planning for investment in infrastructure should bring benefits to all residents of the West of England, not be prioritised for spending on moving people long distances between new and dispersed locations for employment and housing.

Please continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q5. If your representation is seeking modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the Examination?

<b>No</b> , I do not wish to participate at the examination hearings	<b>√</b>	Yes, I wish to participate at the examination hearings

Q6. If you wish to participate, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Our comments demonstrate significant failures of the JSP to meet the tests of soundness which should be explored further in any examination hearing. CPRE Avonside is the local branch of a respected national charity. We anticipate that the Planning Inspectorate will know CPRE by reputation and value the input of our knowledge and understanding of the issues and local area to be explored in this important process.

Please continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary









	<b>ite</b> the Inspector will determine the most appated that they wish to participate at the oral		•
Name	Sphie Spencer	Date	08/01/18

All representations must be received <u>no later than Wednesday 10<sup>th</sup> January 2018</u> Please keep a copy of this form for future reference.







